SAN FRANCISCO

Housing Conservatorship Working Group

MEETING 11 • August 16, 2021 • 1:00-2:30PM • Zoom Platform
AGENDA

- Welcome & Agenda Review
- Implementation update
- Evaluation Update
- Data for State Report
- Public Comment
- Closing & Next Steps
Workgroup Duties

Established by BOS Ordinance 108-19

Purpose

▪ Conduct evaluation on effectiveness of Housing Conservatorship implementation, and submit reports to the BOS, Mayor, and State Legislature, as required
  ▪ Annual report to BOS and Mayor by January 21 each year
  ▪ Annual reports to the State by January 1 each year
Implementation Update

- There have been 127 people with 5+ 5150s in FY 20/21 (25 received notices that they were on the pathway to housing conservatorship)
- 44% have been assessed for coordinated entry
- 54% have accepted and been connected to voluntary care
- 13% have been placed an conservatorship
- 46% received support and are no longer on the pathway to housing conservatorship
Evaluation Update
Evaluation update: What we aiming to accomplish this year

Building on existing evaluation activities:
- Maintain efforts to better track and analyze 5150 holds (i.e. more complete and unduplicated counts)
- Explore additional options for assessing appropriateness of peace officer involvement

With rollout of housing conservatorship:
- Include direct input from individuals conserved
- Better understand the ways in which voluntary services are offered to individuals before they are conserved
- Prioritize evaluation activities on the impact on San Francisco’s African American residents
State Legislature evaluation update

- The report to the State Legislature is to include findings on **12 core indicators** related to the impact of Housing Conservatorship on conserved individuals
(1) Number and status of persons conserved

- 3 petitions filed during FY 20/21
- 2 people were on a conservatorship at the end of the fiscal year
- Both are still under conservatorship, so the effectiveness has not yet been determined.
State Legislature evaluation update

(2) Service planning and delivery process
• SFPDH is working with existing providers, the hospital and the conservator’s office to determine client’s needs and how best to support them.

(3) Number of persons conserved who are placed in locked, acute psychiatric, hospital, rehabilitation, transitional, board and care, or any other facilities or housing types
• Services provided to two individuals include intensive case management, psychiatric respite, psychiatry, remaining in housing with wraparound services.
State Legislature evaluation update

(4) Number of persons conserved placed in another county

• None

(5) Number of persons who receive permanent supportive housing

• Clinically appropriate supportive housing is being evaluated, but as both conservatees are still involved in the program, they have not been placed yet in permanent housing as stabilization is the focus.
State Legislature evaluation update

(6) Number of persons able to maintain housing
- Not applicable

(7) Number of persons who successfully complete substance use disorder treatment programs
- None at this time

(8) Incidence and rate of persons who have been detained subsequent to termination of conservatorship
- Not applicable
State Legislature evaluation update

(9) Analysis of demographic data of persons conserved
   • To come

(10) Analysis of effectiveness of placement and services
   • Not applicable

(11) Substance use relapse rate
   • Not applicable

(12) Number of deaths
   • Not applicable
Evaluation next steps

Next meeting

• Review data for the Board of Supervisors/Mayor’s Office report, including:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Number and status of persons</strong> who have been recommended for a Housing Conservatorship, evaluated for eligibility for a Housing Conservatorship, and/or conserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The <strong>effectiveness of these conservatorships</strong> in addressing the short and long term needs of those persons, including a description of the services they received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The <strong>impact of conservatorships</strong> established on existing conservatorships and on mental health programs provided by the city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The <strong>number of detentions for evaluation and treatment</strong> under Section 5150 that occurred in SF during the evaluation period, broken down by the type of authorized person who performed the detention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Where a detention under Section 5150 was performed by a peace officer, an explanation as to why the peace officer was the appropriate person to perform the detention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluation next steps

Next meeting

• Review data for the Board of Supervisors/Mayor’s Office report, including:
  • Racial/ethnic demographic comparison of general SF population, individuals seen at PES, and individuals under conservatorship
  • Overview information of individuals seen at PES
  • Additional data from SFPD on 5150s initiated by peace officers, including reported reasons for 5150
PUBLIC COMMENT
Monday October 18, 2021 - Review of data for BoS/Mayor report

November 15, 2021 - Review of additional data and State report

December 13, 2021 - Review of BoS/Mayor report
Upcoming Working Group Meetings:

Dates:
- Monday October 18, 2021
- November 15, 2021
- December 13, 2021

Time: 1:00-2:30pm

Meeting location will be determined based on current health recommendations
Email: Housing.Conservatorship-Workgroup@sfdph.org

Website Updates:
www.sfdph.org/dph/comupg/knowlcol/housingconserv/default.asp